

BUTLER VS. SIMMONS.

MEET IN JOINT DISCUSSION AT  
DAN'S STORE, WAYNE COUNTY.

LET EVERY VOTER READ THE FACTS  
ON BOTH SIDES AND DECIDE  
ACCORDINGLY.

A joint discussion between the Chairmen of the People's Party Committee and the Ex-Chairman of the Democratic Committee will be held at Dan's Store, Wayne County, on the 18th of October. Three-fourths of that audience will vote for the People's Party Ticket, and the following and you will see why—A Great Victory for the People's Party.

Grantham's Store, N. C.  
FOR THE CAUCASIAN:  
At the hour appointed for the joint discussion at this place between Mr. Marion Butler, representing the People's party, and Mr. F. M. Simmons, representing the Democratic party, a large crowd had gathered. It was an ugly, threatening day but despite this between 700 and 800 people were gathered to hear the champions of their respective sides. It being Mr. Butler's appointment and Mr. Simmons' friends having challenged him for a joint discussion, under the rules Mr. Simmons, of course, spoke first. The following is a correct abstract of the speech made by a gentleman who took full notes. He said:

MR. SIMMONS' SPEECH.

"I am glad to meet so many of the good people of Wayne county here to-day. I come to talk to you about the great issues now before the people and the important elections that we will soon hold. I am a Democrat but not a fire-eating Democrat. I do not come to pull down but I come to talk in a simple manner. I am a Democrat from conviction. I, like Senator Vance, believe that the principles of the party are immortal. The Democratic party will live after the People's party is dead. If the will of the people is to rule, the Democratic party must live and the People's party must die. Let us look at the three parties now in the field."

Let us look at the People's party for awhile, it is a young party, it is not able to do much yet, it agitates too much, it ought to stop it and not be stirring up bad blood in the country. The States where the People's party have gotten in power have been a scene of discord and turmoil. Even Mrs. Lease says that the People's party in Kansas has not given the people good government. In other states the People's party has had to legislate at the point of the bayonet. Their Governors have defied President Cleveland; they are spreading Coxey everywhere.

Let us see what the People's party congressmen and senators have done. They went to Congress claiming that they were in favor of economy and low taxes, but during the last Congress they have introduced bills calling for \$55,000,000 of appropriations. This is three times as much money as there is in the world. If these fellows had their way they would bankrupt the government. Their Governor has defied President Cleveland; they are spreading Coxey everywhere.

Let us see what the People's party congressmen and senators have done. They went to Congress claiming that they were in favor of economy and low taxes, but during the last Congress they have introduced bills calling for \$55,000,000 of appropriations. This is three times as much money as there is in the world.

The People's party represents just the opposite view from the Republican party, but it does not declare that it will abolish the wrongs of the Republican party, but it stands for paternalism and offers to feed the poor when hungry and give work to the idle when out of employment. It proposes to have a government to do everything for the individual. The Republican party represents one extreme, and the People's party represents the other extreme.

Now the Democratic party does not stand for either one of these extremes, but occupies a middle ground between the two.

Let us see what is the record of the Republican party. When it went into power thirty years ago there were no monopolies, no trusts, and no millionaires. To-day there are ten thousand millionaires in America. No man can accumulate a million dollars in a lifetime by honest work, if he does, it must be by the help of the Government in passing unjust laws in his favor to give him the advantage over his fellow-man. When the Republican party went into power one-half of the wealth of the country was in the hands of the wealth producer, now only one-fourth of the wealth of the country is in the hands of the wealth producers. It has been robbed from you and has gone into the hands of the rich manufacturers. My friends, just think of it, to-day 25,000 men own over half of the wealth of the country. There are 25,000 people in Wayne county yet this small number of people own more wealth than all of the rest of the people in this country.

THE CAUSE.

These are the facts. Now my friends, let us see what is the cause of this state of things. The country has been growing richer all this time yet unjust legislation has been making the great bulk of the people grow poorer. This has been done by the Republican party. It has been done through the tariff. The tariff is the greatest question now before the American people. It affects over 4,000 articles of consumption.

Now, my friends, you have seen what a bad condition we have gotten into. I have shown you who did it, and I have shown you that they have done it through the tariff. Now let us see what the Democratic party has done to remedy these evils. Some people say that we have done nothing, but listen at me and let me tell you what we have done.

It is true that we have not done all that we wanted to, and all that should have been done, and the fact is, millionaires and monopolists have been against us and have done everything that they could to prevent our Congress from legislating in the interest of the people, but in spite of this opposition we have cut down the tariff 25 per cent, we have cut down the tariff on every article. You can now buy a knife for 35 cents, which would have cost you 50 cents before we passed our tariff bill. At this point he took up a knife and said, "I bought this knife this morning from Mr. Hop Smith in Goldsboro, I paid him 35 cents for it. He told me that he would have charged me 75 cents for it last summer. Mr. Matt Lee of Goldsboro told me this morning that he was selling cotton bagging and ties for less than he

# THE CAUCASIAN.

GOLDSBORO, N. C., THURSDAY, OCTOBER 18, 1894.

A POINTERS.  
We printed over 450,000 copies in  
1893. We expect to print and circulate  
during the present year  
A MILLION COPIES

NO. 51.

## THE SOUTH AND HER TEMPTER; FAUST AND GRETCHEN.



The Demon of contraction stands behind the Democratic office holder as he tries to betray the South into the hands of the goldbugs. We pray to God she may see the goldbug devil behind her tempter.

silver and State banks, and through these means we will give you good times. How can the People's party do any better than this through the Sub-Treasury, free coinage of silver or any other plank in their platform. Free silver can't do much for you any way, for Col. Polk said it would only increase the circulation at 30 cents a head."

At this point Mr. Simmons asked how much more time he had. He was told six minutes. Then said it was too short to develop another idea in, and that he would stop if Mr. Butler would allow him to have this six minutes addition in his rejoinder. Mr. Butler spoke up promptly and said "very certainly". Mr. Simmons got very feeble applause, evidently the Democrats were disappointed in him.

MR. BUTLER'S SPEECH.

Mr. Butler went on the stand three rousing cheers were given him and every man crowded close around the stand to hear him. He said:

Fellow citizens of Wayne, Duplin, Sampson and Johnston counties: I agreed to speak after much persuasion. The management of the campaign from headquarter needs every minute of my time. But I am glad that I came, and I am especially glad that there is an able speaker here to represent the other side. I have been wanting to hear a Democratic speech for months. I wanted to see how they would try to reconcile their promises in the last campaign and to see whether they had been in power. I have heard Mr. Simmons and you have heard him. He was chairman of the Democratic State Executive Committee two years ago when the party promised you to wipe every evil law off of the statute books if you would put them in power. He does not make the same speech now he made then. Now he is hedging and apologizing. Not only this, but is (as I will prove before I get through) stating things which are totally untrue.

I made seventy speeches in the last campaign and to-day I see every one of those many audiences and make the same speech now that I made then. I told you then that if the Democratic party got into power that it would not keep its promises. It told you that they would not give you honest tariff reform, but would take the tariff off of everything that the wealth producer makes and let the manufacturer make as much, if not more, money than he makes now. They have not only done this but they have done worse. I did not think then that they would boldly sell out to the smug and lazy trust.

I told you then that if the Democrats got into power that they would not give you free coinage of silver. I told you that they would repeat the Sherman Law and put nothing in its place. I charged this on every stamp but Mr. Glenn and Mr. Aycock and every other Democratic speaker indignantly denied it.

I told you that if the Democrats got into power that they would not increase the circulating medium, but I did not charge that they would contract the currency still further, for I did not think that they were completely under the thumb of the shysters.

I told you that they would not repeal the National banking law, but I did not think that they would introduce and try to pass a bill to increase the power of the banks over your money, but they did. I charged that the Democrats were just as much to blame for the issues of gold bonds made in the past as the Republicans were, but I did not charge that if they got in power that they would issue more bonds. Because the Republicans have not dared to issue any bonds since 1873. The gold bugs tried to force Harrison to issue bonds in 1872, but he refused to do it. I did not know that Cleveland and the Democratic party had at that time promised the gold bugs that they would issue bonds if they (the gold bugs) would put up enough money to put the Democratic party in power.

So you see, my friends, that I can face every audience and make the

same speech now I did then, and it would be true, except that the Democrats have done twice as bad as I told you they would do.

I stand now for precisely the same principles I stood for then. We are faced by the same difficulties, except that the evil has grown worse.

Mr. Simmons and his party cannot stand now where they stood then, for 'their actions have belied their words,' and according to the News and Observer, 'all honest men can have nothing but contempt for them.'

But, my friends, I am not going to make a set speech to-day. I am going to reply to Mr. Simmons. I will take up his speech just as he delivered it and show that every claim that he made for his party was either fallacious or untrue, and that every charge he made against the Peoples Party was either untrue or bold demagoguery.

MR. SIMMONS' ARGUMENTS RIDDLED.

Mr. Simmons started out by trying to tell you what kind of a Democrat he was. But I could not understand his definition. Can any man in this audience (either Democrat or Populist) tell me if they understood his definition? Is he a free silver Democrat or a pro-slavery Democrat? Is he a Democratic Democrat or is he a Thos. Jefferson Democrat? Is he a Randolph Democrat or is he a Vanee Democrat? In his speech he said that he was like Vance in some respects. What! Simmon like Vance? In what respect, Mr. Simmons? (No answer.) Mr. Vance said that if the Democratic party bowed down to the gold trust and repealed the Sherman Law without putting something better in its place, then the "best part" of the country would get into the hands of the wealth producers owned half of the wealth of the country while to-day they owned less than one-fourth of the wealth. He said that only 25,000 men owned over half of the wealth of the country.

All of this is very true, but what did he tell you was the cause of it? He told you it was caused by unjust legislation. This is very true, but he went on further and told you that it was done through the tariff; he told you that the tariff was the greatest issue. Mr. Simmons reminds me of a man who gets in the pulpit and preaches the true gospel against stealing and then goes straightway and steals himself. Mr. Simmons' picture of the condition of the country is true, and sounds very much like an Allance speech, but his application is entirely wrong. Now, my friends, remember he told you that your wealth was being drawn from you each year to make millionaires every year, as Mr. Simmons says, and makes thousands poorer at the same time. But if it is the tariff that does this as he claims, then certainly the majority of the millionaires in this country should be men who have made their millions out of the tariff. I charge them both with hypocrisy, treachery, and falsehood, and to-day when I called upon Mr. Simmons, a champion of Democracy in North Carolina, to prove what he says about the tariff, he says, "Oh, I don't care to go into that."

THE WRONG CAUSE.

Mr. Simmons said that during the last 31 years that trusts and monopolies have grown up in the country and the country has gotten into the hands of a few millionaires and left 10,000 millions behind. He said that 30 years ago the wealth producers owned half of the wealth of the country while to-day they owned less than one-fourth of the wealth. He said that only 25,000 men owned over half of the wealth of the country.

All of this is very true, but what did he tell you was the cause of it? He told you it was caused by unjust legislation. This is very true, but he went on further and told you that it was done through the tariff; he told you that the tariff was the greatest issue. Mr. Simmons reminds me of a man who gets in the pulpit and preaches the true gospel against stealing and then goes straightway and steals himself. Mr. Simmons' picture of the condition of the country is true, and sounds very much like an Allance speech, but his application is entirely wrong. Now, my friends, remember he told you that your wealth was being drawn from you each year to make millionaires every year, as Mr. Simmons says, and makes thousands poorer at the same time. But if it is the tariff that does this as he claims, then certainly the majority of the millionaires in this country should be men who have made their millions out of the tariff. I charge them both with hypocrisy, treachery, and falsehood, and to-day when I called upon Mr. Simmons, a champion of Democracy in North Carolina, to prove what he says about the tariff, he says, "Oh, I don't care to go into that."

NOW LET US SEE WHAT THE PEOPLE WANT.

He says that if the will of the people is to rule that the Democratic party must die. What an astounding statement! Mr. Simmons said that the Democratic party is coining silver right now? As a proof of it look at the new silver dollars with 1894 on them (and here he took out of his pocket several new silver dollars and handed them out in the crowd for inspection.) Don't you see that? Don't you see my friends we are coining silver? Look on carefully, don't you see the People's party is issuing silver now? Who has left the Democratic party and come to the Peoples Party? (No answer.) This is proof of the pudding.

THESE ARE THE WILLS OF THE PEOPLE.

He says that if the will of the people is to rule that the Democratic party must live and the Peoples Party must die. What an astounding statement! Mr. Simmons said that the Democratic party is coining silver right now? As a proof of it look at the new silver dollars with 1894 on them (and here he took out of his pocket several new silver dollars and handed them out in the crowd for inspection.) Don't you see that? Don't you see my friends we are coining silver? Look on carefully, don't you see the People's party is issuing silver now? Who has left the Democratic party and come to the Peoples Party? (No answer.) This is proof of the pudding.

THE THREE PARTIES REPRESENTED.

Mr. Simmons said that the Republican party represented one extreme in government and that the Peoples

party is not only in favor of fixing the tariff right, but it is in favor of

those 1894

## SILVER DOLLARS.

WHAT GEN. A. J. WARNER, PRESIDENT OF THE SILVER LEAGUE, SAYS ABOUT THEM.

HE SAYS THAT THEY DO NOT INCREASE THE CURRENT ONE CENT.

He says that Mr. Cleveland is burning up paper money for every silver dollar coined. He says that this is "downright deceptive", and is done in the interest of the gold bugs and the people. He says that the Government is making "Official Misstatements of Facts."

Special to THE CAUCASIAN.

WASHINGTON, D. C., Oct. 16.—Your correspondent went yesterday to call on Gen. A. J. Warner, the President of the American Bi-metallic League. He is not only one of the best informed men on finance in the country, but he is recognized authority on the silver question. We wanted his opinion about these new 1894 silver dollars which the government is sending out to the Southern banks, so they can use them in paying the farmers for cotton. We found him at his office in the Sun Building, which is headquarters for the Silver League. He was busy, but readily gave me an interview. I asked him the following questions, I give you his answers.

WHAT GEN. WARNER SAID.

Question.—Gen. Warner, what does the coining of silver dollars at this time mean?

Answer.—Do you mean to ask why silver dollars are being coined at this time? If so, that is a question which only the Secretary of the Treasury can answer. It would hardly do to say they are coined just at this time for political effect, although there are strong suspicions of that kind out. One thing, however, is certain, the coining of this silver adds nothing whatever to the volume of circulation. The silver dollars now being coined are made from the bullion purchased under the act of 1890, and under the provisions of that act they can only be used for the redemption of the coin notes issued under that act. If any of these dollars, therefore, are paid out, notes to an equal amount must be canceled and destroyed; for the act of 1890 plainly says there shall be no greater or less amount of such notes outstanding at any time, than the cost of the silver bullion and the standard dollars coined therefrom, then held in the Treasury. Therefore, these dollars must either be held in the Treasury or paid out for coin notes which must be cancelled. They are not wanted for the redemption of coin notes, and, therefore, will be held in the Treasury. The Secretary of the Treasury is consequently incurring the expense of changing a certain amount of silver bullion into the form of coin.

Mr. Butler: "Mr. Simmons I am waiting on you for an answer. Stand up now and prove your statements by the facts, or admit before this audience that you are either ignorant or that you have wilfully tried to deceive them."

Mr. Simmons: "Oh, I don't care to go into that."

Mr. Butler: "You hearing, gentlemen, what he says, when I call upon for the proof he refuses to give it; he says, 'Oh, I don't care to go into that.'"

"What did he come out here for?

I came out here to give the people the facts. This very question is the most important one now before the American people. Every body wants to know, is it that they are bleeding them out of their lives and substance; what it is that makes those who soil and spin grow poorer, while their work makes the country richer, and a few reap the riches? Every man wants to know this, for every man is effected by it. There are one hundred men in America today who are being bled by unjust laws, while only one out of one hundred is being benefited. The Democrats have been telling you for thirty years that this was caused by the tariff. I stand here to-day and charge that they have wilfully and maliciously deceived you every time they have made such a statement. I stand here to-day and charge that the National Republican party, and the National Democratic party have both gone into a conspiracy with the money powers and the railroad monopolies to bleed you out of your wealth, while at the same time they have agreed to keep these great overshadowing issues in the back ground and go before you in a battle over tariff. One side telling you times will be better if the tariff is lower; the other side telling you times will be better if the tariff is made higher. I charge them both with hypocrisy, treachery, and falsehood, and to-day when I called upon Mr. Simmons, a champion of Democracy in North Carolina, to prove what he says about the tariff, he says, "Oh, I don't care to go into that."

MACHINERY CAUCUS NOMINATION.

"When Ransom voted to repeal the Sherman law," said our informant, "he betrayed the people. It was a cold-blooded bargain. His vote was estimated at so many appointments, and the appointments mean so many Ransom votes in the Legislature. It is a clear case of bribery. The people will not condone it. The machine may triumph in its desperate efforts to secure a certain nomination, but I am satisfied that the opposition to his election stands the Democratic party will be strong enough to prevent it."

Q.—What have you to say about the statement of the volume of money in circulation put out on the first of each month?

"I have simply to say that they are official misstatements of facts. This may seem to be a broad assertion, but it is no more than those who make up these statements well know and would doubtless privately admit. There is no such volume of money in circulation in this country as is contained in these statements; nor is there any such volume of money in the country at all, and those who make up these statements know this perfectly well."

HIS FINES IN THE BIG OPERA HOUSE.

His fines are all right, but all the same, the p'ain people are talking about his record on silver. Eighteen out of twenty-four Southern Democratic Senators voted against the repeal of Sherman law. Ransom was one of the main leaders. The other leaders supported the statement that the silver coins were still being coined and the currency volume thereby increased, it is down-right deception; that is all there is to it."

Q.—What have you to say about the statement of the volume of money in circulation put out on the first of each month?

"I have simply to say that they are official misstatements of facts. This may seem to be a broad assertion, but it is no more than those who make up these statements well know and would doubtless privately admit. There is no such volume of money in circulation in this country as is contained in these statements; nor is there any such volume of money in the country at

# THE CAUCASIAN,

PUBLISHED EVERY THURSDAY.

MARION BUTLER, Editor & Prop.

SUBSCRIPTION RATES.

ONE YEAR, . . . . . \$1.00  
SIX MONTHS, . . . . . 50

[Entered at the Post Office at Goldsboro', N.C., as second-class mail matter.]

## CUR SUPREME COURT.

It is claimed by Chairman Pou in his address and by the News & Observer that the Supreme court was so non-partisan and unselfish that it voluntarily decided itself out of office.

The decision of the members of the Supreme court who decided the question as to the term of Judges of Superior and justices of Supreme court was founded on pure selfishness, and by the way, according to the best legal learning of the State, contrary to law.

The question submitted to them was whether when the people elected a Judge it was for the term of eight years or for the unexpired term of his predecessor.

Justices Clark and McRae being personally interested could take no part in the decision, and the question was therefore left to Justices Shepard, Avery and Burwell. Shepard, in any event, and Burwell had to stand for election, they both holding their offices under appointment of the Governor, and Avery was and is a candidate for the United States Senate.

As Shepherd and Burwell had to stand for election it was to their interest to put as many names on the Judicial ticket as possible in order to give it strength and popularity; and Avery was interested in securing a Democratic Legislature and adding to his own popularity.

The lawyers were insisting that whenever a judge was elected by the people it was for the full term of eight years, while the politicians and office seekers were clamoring for a large ticket and a chance to get the judgeship. This was the condition of the matter when that boasted unselfish decision was made and which added to the Judicial ticket the names of Carter, Council, Long Allen, MacRae and Clark, thus giving it that much more strength than it would have had if the decision had been otherwise.

The opinion in this case is generally considered one of the weakest and most vulnerable ever delivered by this court, and influenced entirely by selfish and political reasons. Such a court as this cannot be trusted. North Carolina must have a non-partisan Judiciary.

## CHAIRMAN POU'S ADDRESS.

The address of Chairman Pou to the Democrats of North Carolina suggests a few thoughts worthy of the deepest and most profound patriotic consideration. Chairman Pou deserves the thanks of all liberty-loving citizens of all parties for having boldly proclaimed the sentiment of himself and his party against the efforts of the People's party in this campaign, aided by the great masses of the Republican party, to establish as a principle of State government, that hereafter North Carolina ought to have a NON-PARTISAN JUDICIAL system, practically carried out in the selection of our Judges.

Now what is a non-partisan Judiciary? The answer is plain and easily understood. ALL the Judges, whatever their individual political opinions may be, ought not at the same time and under the same administration to belong to one and the same political party. This is the principle we contend for and only this.

Is it right? Is it a principle calculated to elevate the office, as well as the officer who holds it? Should the Democratic party, or the People's party, or the Republican party, or the Prohibition party, or any other party, which now exists, or which may hereafter exist, in North Carolina, fill all the Judicial offices with its own partisans, and utterly ignore the lawyers of every other party? Is a lawyer's fitness for Judicial office to be determined by his party politics? Do the best citizens of the State concur with Chairman Pou in the sentiment expressed on this subject in his address, to the effect that all our Judges ought to be Democrats? His address is so one-sided and partisan that he cannot even refer to the Republican candidates for Supreme court honors without attempting at ridicule, which their personal friends and party associates will no doubt hurl back at Chairman Pou at the ballot box, if the Democratic "Judges" of election will graciously permit them to vote at all. Even the petty judges of election, who hold office for only the single day of election—a little less than

twelve hours—are required by law to be men of different parties, and not all of them at any one precinct, members of the same party. True it is, and the more's the pity, 'tis true, and the greater the danger to liberty, that many Boards of County Commissioners throughout the State look for ignorant, illiterate, and corrupt political opponents to appoint as "judges of election" in order to defeat the spirit of the election, by while complying with only its letter in the appointment of election judges to pass upon the citizens' highest political right—the right to vote. But that is simply partisanship run mad.

If non-partisan judges of election are required, whose term of office is for only one day, what shall be said of a partisan Judicial system to fill all our Judicial State offices for the term of eight years? That was good advice in its day and even better now, which Jethro, the Priest of Midian, gave to his son-in-law, Moses, as to what he ought to do in selecting Judges for Israel—"Moreover, thou shalt provide out of ALL the people, able men, such as fear God, men of truth, hating covetousness." The Israelites were divided up into district tribes, but Jethro said not a word about taking all the Judges from any one particular tribe. Had Chairman Pou been there he would doubtless have suggested to Moses, "appoint now but the Democrats, Judges?" Let no People's party man nor Republican apply. Now does not the notion of partisanship do violence to every good man's sense of justice and propriety, and is it not high time in North Carolina to change our former partisan practices in this regard? Chairman Pou in his partisan zeal, goes even so far as to advise the Democratic voters of the State to vote against the opposition candidates for the Superior Court Judgeships, confessing at the same time he does not know them, nor whether they are qualified for the position or not. We quote from the address:

"Their candidates for Superior Court Judges are men with whose records this committee is not familiar." Then in the very next sentence Chairman Pou, WITHOUT KNOWING the candidates, undertakes to ridicule them for their obscurity, notwithstanding the policy and partisan practices of the Democratic party in this regard, has shut and barred the door to Judicial honors against all political opponents heretofore, thus passing upon them the sentence of doom to personal and professional obscurity. Chairman Pou says further: "It is hoped that these gentlemen have qualifications for the position of Judge (for the Judges are our most important officers), but if they have the qualifications that are necessary to make a Judge, these qualities are known only to their closest friends, or as yet undiscovered."

Posse your soul with patience Chairman Pou, for unless your Democratic partisan "judges of election" and returning board cheat the people out of their rights to vote, you will have the opportunity of seeing these obscure and unknown gentlemen on the bench in Johnston county, if you yourself continue to practice law in that locality. What a bitter partisan appeal Chairman Pou makes on this subject in closing his address! We wonder if the Democratic Supreme Court Judges endorse this address or authorized Chairman Pou to speak for them as indirectly interested parties in making it? To maintain a fair balance and their own self-respect, they ought to come over their own signatures and denounce it. Hear Chairman Pou as he winds up, when his partisan soul warms up to the full height of Democratic Judicial partisanship:

"Let us put aside every question which might weaken us, and seek only the success of the Democratic party, and let us consider that success with a zeal worthy of Democrats." It is the "success of the Democratic party" and that alone he advises his party to "seek only."

The People's party to the contrary is urging its followers to seek the GOOD OF THE STATE and the Republicans have joined us in our effort to procure a non-partisan Judiciary, and all good men who endorse the principle should come and go with us. In order that no doubt might be left in the popular mind as to the blind adherence and stick-to-it-ness of Chairman Pou's position favoring a partisan Judiciary, hear the closing and dying note of this political sweet singing swan: "Let us make our victory so great that no man will again essay to reach the Supreme Court by denying his policies, or by making claims to non-partisanship, bordering on falsehood." Goodness gracious! If Chairman Pou voices the sentiments of the Democratic candidates for Judicial honors in this campaign, they may indeed begin to pray for deliverance from the zeal of Chairman Pou.

Let us now of the People's party, who have openly in our respective State conventions agreed to commit ourselves to the principle of a non-partisan Judiciary, co-operate together to secure it, and let us take courage when we thank Chairman Pou for so clearly running and marking the line of distinction for us. Let every voter stop and think. The Democratic party says nobody but a Democrat shall be a Judge in North Carolina, even if ballot box fraud is necessary to accomplish it; the People's party, Republicans, Prohibitionists, and all other parties to the contrary notwithstanding. What will the people say on the 6th day of November? Wait, we will see.

## WHAT IS MEANT BY THE COOPERATION OF THE REPUBLICAN AND POPULIST PARTIES?

The Republican party believes in and advocates a high protective tariff, and a currency of silver standard which the people say is the best standard which the country has.

The Democratic party in its platform declared at Chicago, declared for a low tariff and the free and unlimited coinage of silver.

The People's party believes in and advocates a low tariff, and the free and unlimited coinage of silver.

There were a great many people who placed their confidence and trust in the Democratic declaration of principles made at Chicago, emphasized and vaunted that they were by the Democratic speakers and candidates, and especially because many of them publicly promised that if the Democratic party should be put in power, they would be true to its promises, the people quit the party and go with the People's party.

The Democratic party was put in power, but instead of honestly abiding by and putting into effect these solemn promises to the people, it destroyed the last remains of the old silver standard, by an unconstitutional repeal of the silver purchasing clause of the Sherman act, thereby reducing silver to a mere commodity, and possess a tariff better in some respects perhaps than the McKinley tariff, but highly protective and favorable to trusts.

This has taught the people that the Democratic party is not to be trusted, and that none are written and adopted with no purpose or intention of them being a guide for future legislation, but simply and only to root the people and get votes.

The Populists cannot follow the Republicans because their purposes, and their platform of principles, are not such, as will, in the opinion of the Populists, redound to the interests and welfare of the country.

Now does not the notion of partisanship do violence to every good man's sense of justice and propriety, and is it not high time in North Carolina to change our former partisan practices in this regard?

Chairman Pou in his partisan zeal, goes even so far as to advise the Democratic voters of the State to vote against the opposition candidates for the Superior Court Judgeships, confessing at the same time he does not know them, nor whether they are qualified for the position or not. We quote from the address:

"Their candidates for Superior Court Judges are men with whose records this committee is not familiar." Then in the very next sentence Chairman Pou, WITHOUT KNOWING the candidates, undertakes to ridicule them for their obscurity, notwithstanding the policy and partisan practices of the Democratic party in this regard, has shut and barred the door to Judicial honors against all political opponents heretofore, thus passing upon them the sentence of doom to personal and professional obscurity. Chairman Pou says further: "It is hoped that these gentlemen have qualifications for the position of Judge (for the Judges are our most important officers), but if they have the qualifications that are necessary to make a Judge, these qualities are known only to their closest friends, or as yet undiscovered."

Posse your soul with patience Chairman Pou, for unless your Democratic partisan "judges of election" and returning board cheat the people out of their rights to vote, you will have the opportunity of seeing these obscure and unknown gentlemen on the bench in Johnston county, if you yourself continue to practice law in that locality. What a bitter partisan appeal Chairman Pou makes on this subject in closing his address!

We wonder if the Democratic Supreme Court Judges endorse this address or authorized Chairman Pou to speak for them as indirectly interested parties in making it?

To maintain a fair balance and their own self-respect, they ought to come over their own signatures and denounce it. Hear Chairman Pou as he winds up, when his partisan soul warms up to the full height of Democratic Judicial partisanship:

"Let us put aside every question which might weaken us, and seek only the success of the Democratic party, and let us consider that success with a zeal worthy of Democrats." It is the "success of the Democratic party" and that alone he advises his party to "seek only."

The People's party to the contrary is urging its followers to seek the GOOD OF THE STATE and the Republicans have joined us in our effort to procure a non-partisan Judiciary, and all good men who endorse the principle should come and go with us.

In order that no doubt might be left in the popular mind as to the blind adherence and stick-to-it-ness of Chairman Pou's position favoring a partisan Judiciary, hear the closing and dying note of this political sweet singing swan: "Let us make our victory so great that no man will again essay to reach the Supreme Court by denying his policies, or by making claims to non-partisanship, bordering on falsehood." Goodness gracious!

If Chairman Pou voices the sentiments of the Democratic candidates for Judicial honors in this campaign, they may indeed begin to pray for deliverance from the zeal of Chairman Pou.

Let us now of the People's party, who have openly in our respective State conventions agreed to commit ourselves to the principle of a non-partisan Judiciary, co-operate together to secure it, and let us take courage when we thank Chairman Pou for so clearly running and marking the line of distinction for us. Let every voter stop and think. The Democratic party says nobody but a Democrat shall be a Judge in North Carolina, even if ballot box fraud is necessary to accomplish it; the People's party, Republicans, Prohibitionists, and all other parties to the contrary notwithstanding. What will the people say on the 6th day of November? Wait, we will see.

WHAT IS MEANT BY THE COOPERATION OF THE REPUBLICAN AND POPULIST PARTIES?

The Republican party believes in and advocates a high protective tariff, and a currency of silver standard which the people say is the best standard which the country has.

The Democratic party in its platform declared at Chicago, declared for a low tariff and the free and unlimited coinage of silver.

The People's party believes in and advocates a low tariff, and the free and unlimited coinage of silver.

There were a great many people who placed their confidence and trust in the Democratic declaration of principles made at Chicago, emphasized and vaunted that they were by the Democratic speakers and candidates, and especially because many of them publicly promised that if the Democratic party should be put in power, they would be true to its promises, the people quit the party and go with the People's party.

The Democratic party was put in power, but instead of honestly abiding by and putting into effect these solemn promises to the people, it destroyed the last remains of the old silver standard, by an unconstitutional repeal of the silver purchasing clause of the Sherman act, thereby reducing silver to a mere commodity, and possess a tariff better in some respects perhaps than the McKinley tariff, but highly protective and favorable to trusts.

This has taught the people that the Democratic party is not to be trusted, and that none are written and adopted with no purpose or intention of them being a guide for future legislation, but simply and only to root the people and get votes.

The Populists cannot follow the Republicans because their purposes, and their platform of principles, are not such, as will, in the opinion of the Populists, redound to the interests and welfare of the country.

Now does not the notion of partisanship do violence to every good man's sense of justice and propriety, and is it not high time in North Carolina to change our former partisan practices in this regard?

Chairman Pou in his partisan zeal, goes even so far as to advise the Democratic voters of the State to vote against the opposition candidates for the Superior Court Judgeships, confessing at the same time he does not know them, nor whether they are qualified for the position or not. We quote from the address:

"Their candidates for Superior Court Judges are men with whose records this committee is not familiar." Then in the very next sentence Chairman Pou, WITHOUT KNOWING the candidates, undertakes to ridicule them for their obscurity, notwithstanding the policy and partisan practices of the Democratic party in this regard, has shut and barred the door to Judicial honors against all political opponents heretofore, thus passing upon them the sentence of doom to personal and professional obscurity. Chairman Pou says further: "It is hoped that these gentlemen have qualifications for the position of Judge (for the Judges are our most important officers), but if they have the qualifications that are necessary to make a Judge, these qualities are known only to their closest friends, or as yet undiscovered."

Posse your soul with patience Chairman Pou, for unless your Democratic partisan "judges of election" and returning board cheat the people out of their rights to vote, you will have the opportunity of seeing these obscure and unknown gentlemen on the bench in Johnston county, if you yourself continue to practice law in that locality. What a bitter partisan appeal Chairman Pou makes on this subject in closing his address!

We wonder if the Democratic Supreme Court Judges endorse this address or authorized Chairman Pou to speak for them as indirectly interested parties in making it?

To maintain a fair balance and their own self-respect, they ought to come over their own signatures and denounce it. Hear Chairman Pou as he winds up, when his partisan soul warms up to the full height of Democratic Judicial partisanship:

"Let us put aside every question which might weaken us, and seek only the success of the Democratic party, and let us consider that success with a zeal worthy of Democrats." It is the "success of the Democratic party" and that alone he advises his party to "seek only."

The People's party to the contrary is urging its followers to seek the GOOD OF THE STATE and the Republicans have joined us in our effort to procure a non-partisan Judiciary, and all good men who endorse the principle should come and go with us.

In order that no doubt might be left in the popular mind as to the blind adherence and stick-to-it-ness of Chairman Pou's position favoring a partisan Judiciary, hear the closing and dying note of this political sweet singing swan: "Let us make our victory so great that no man will again essay to reach the Supreme Court by denying his policies, or by making claims to non-partisanship, bordering on falsehood." Goodness gracious!

If Chairman Pou voices the sentiments of the Democratic candidates for Judicial honors in this campaign, they may indeed begin to pray for deliverance from the zeal of Chairman Pou.

Let us now of the People's party, who have openly in our respective State conventions agreed to commit ourselves to the principle of a non-partisan Judiciary, co-operate together to secure it, and let us take courage when we thank Chairman Pou for so clearly running and marking the line of distinction for us. Let every voter stop and think. The Democratic party says nobody but a Democrat shall be a Judge in North Carolina, even if ballot box fraud is necessary to accomplish it; the People's party, Republicans, Prohibitionists, and all other parties to the contrary notwithstanding. What will the people say on the 6th day of November? Wait, we will see.

WHAT IS MEANT BY THE COOPERATION OF THE REPUBLICAN AND POPULIST PARTIES?

The Republican party believes in and advocates a high protective tariff, and a currency of silver standard which the people say is the best standard which the country has.

The Democratic party in its platform declared at Chicago, declared for a low tariff and the free and unlimited coinage of silver.

The People's party believes in and advocates a low tariff, and the free and unlimited coinage of silver.

There were a great many people who placed their confidence and trust in the Democratic declaration of principles made at Chicago, emphasized and vaunted that they were by the Democratic speakers and candidates, and especially because many of them publicly promised that if the Democratic party should be put in power, they would be true to its promises, the people quit the party and go with the People's party.

The Democratic party was put in power, but instead of honestly abiding by and putting into effect these solemn promises to the people, it destroyed the last remains of the old silver standard, by an unconstitutional repeal of the silver purchasing clause of the Sherman act, thereby reducing silver to a mere commodity, and possess a tariff better in some respects perhaps than the McKinley tariff, but highly protective and favorable to trusts.

This has taught the people that the Democratic party is not to be trusted, and that none are written and adopted with no purpose or intention of them being a guide for future legislation, but simply and only to root the people and get votes.

The Populists cannot follow the Republicans because their purposes, and their platform of principles, are not such, as will, in the opinion of the Populists, redound to the interests and welfare of the country.

Now does not the notion of partisanship do violence to every good man's sense of justice and propriety, and is it not high time in North Carolina to change our former partisan practices in this regard?

Chairman Pou in his partisan zeal, goes even so far as to advise the Democratic voters of the State to vote against the opposition candidates for the Superior Court Judgeships, confessing at the same time he does not know them, nor whether they are qualified for the position or not. We quote from the address:

"Their candidates for Superior Court Judges are men with whose records this committee is not familiar." Then in the very next sentence Chairman Pou, WITHOUT KNOWING the candidates, undertakes to ridicule them for their obscurity, notwithstanding the policy and partisan practices of the Democratic party in this regard, has shut and barred the door to Judicial honors against all political opponents heretofore, thus passing upon them the sentence of doom to personal and professional obscurity. Chairman Pou says further: "It is hoped that these gentlemen have qualifications for the position of Judge (for the Judges are our most important officers), but if they have the qualifications that are necessary to make a Judge, these qualities are known only to their closest friends, or as yet undiscovered."

Posse your soul with patience Chairman Pou, for unless your Democratic partisan "judges of election" and returning board cheat the people out of their rights to vote, you will have the opportunity of seeing these obscure and unknown gentlemen on the bench in Johnston county, if you yourself continue to practice law in that locality. What a bitter partisan appeal Chairman Pou makes on this subject in closing his address!

We wonder if the Democratic Supreme Court Judges endorse this address or authorized Chairman Pou to speak for them as indirectly interested parties in making it?

To maintain a fair balance and their own self-respect, they ought to come over their own signatures and denounce it. Hear Chairman Pou as he winds up, when his partisan soul warms up to the full height of Democratic Judicial partisanship:

"Let us put aside every question which might weaken us, and seek only the success of the Democratic party, and let us consider that success with a zeal worthy of Democrats." It is the "success of the Democratic party" and that alone he advises his party to "seek only."

The People's party to the contrary is urging its followers to seek the GOOD OF THE STATE and the Republicans have joined us in our effort to procure a non-partisan Judiciary, and all good men who endorse the principle should come and go with us.

In order that no doubt might be left in the popular mind as to the blind adherence and stick-to-it-ness of Chairman Pou's position favoring a partisan Judiciary, hear the closing and dying note of this political sweet singing swan: "Let us make our victory so great that no man will again essay to reach the Supreme Court by denying his policies, or by making claims to non-partisanship, bordering on falsehood." Goodness gracious!

If Chairman Pou voices the sentiments of the Democratic candidates for Judicial honors

## THE CAUCASIAN

GOLDSBORO, N. C., OCT. 18, '94.  
WAYNE COUNTY.

### LOOK AT THE LABEL.

On your paper. Do not look for the "blue cross" any more. We have put our list in mailing type. Your name is on a printed label with the date when your subscription expires. Be sure to send your renewal (if you want the paper another year) at least a week before your subscription expires.

### INDEX TO NEW ADVERTISEMENTS.

Dr. B. F. Arrington—Dentistry.  
James M. Grant—Sheriff Sale.  
S. I. Bell & Co.—Philadelphia, Pa.  
Burlington Blanket Co.—Burlington, Wis.

B. M. Woolley—Atlanta, Ga.

We wish to request our readers when writing to any of the above companies to say that you saw the advertisement in THE CAUCASIAN.

### EDITORIAL.

#### POU'S ADDRESS—A WAIL OF DESPAIR.

The hopelessness of the Democratic outlook in the State is graphically presented in Chairman Pou's "address to Democratic voters." It is in it the wail of despair. Evidently it is Pou's own brilliant conception. Certainly it is an unauthorized promulgation—unless, like Pou, the central committee has lost its head. We quote from it that others than "Democratic voters" may anticipate the result of the election and appreciate the methods employed to accomplish it. The guileless and plausibly young statesmen, who always wears an affidavit face, say with unaffectedunction: "The indications all over the State are that the people have turned against the fusion ticket and that they will bury it beneath an avalanche of indignant votes." What is meant by "indication" follows. This is important. It is impressively stated: "We have borrowed strength and gathered inspiration from the great victory won in Georgia and Alabama where tickets made up, like the mongrel one in this State, have been buried beneath decisive majorities. The returns from these elections show that as a political factor the Populist movement at the South is at an end." The country knows how the Populist party was extinguished in Alabama and Georgia. Even the "fresh" Mr. Pou is not ignorant of the methods employed by the "machine" to effect the result in these two States. Against the most daring and shameless frauds, the Populist vote in both States increased over one hundred per cent. By a fair count the Populist party won a splendid triumph, electing its State and Legislative ticket. The result was defeated by a notoriously false count. No self-respecting Democrat attempts to deny or extenuate it. Democrats are proud of the stigma. They accept it as "a decoration of honor." It is for this achievement that the Democratic State committee have "borrowed strength and gathered inspiration." A bold, candid confession. Its significance will not be lost on Democratic election officers. This is an improvement on the Avery-Simmons methods in 1892. An open address is more reputable than a secret circular. The result may not be different, but Pou's methods are cleaner. They lack the element of conspiracy. We hope this year that no member of the State Supreme Court is responsible for the debauchery of the ballot box. Pou is inspired by Democratic success in Georgia and Alabama. The Democratic victory in this State, if won, will be due to that "inspiration." Georgia and Alabama "inspiration" has already demoralized the Populist party in North Carolina. Mr. Pou has "exclusive" information to that effect. He says "the Populists are losing every day their men of character, and all those who went into it from principle." And all on account of the Georgia and Alabama election. That is not all. More startling news yet is the statement that "in almost every county in the State discord reigns supreme among the ranks of our enemies." According to Pou—a wonderful man he is—the fight is won. "The question now is only one of majority." He has, no doubt, just learned of the action of the county commissioners and the question as to the size of the majority he thoughtfully submits to the poll-holders and county returning boards. Speaking to these officials he queries: "Shall it be such a majority as will leave the Fusionists some hope for the future, shall we pile up such a majority as will for years to come dislodge the enemies of our State?" Not content to leave "the question of majority" to the precinct and county "machines" the cold-blooded suggestion is made that "the enemies of our State" be annihilated. "This

committee begs that you make choice of the latter course. It is within the power of the Democrats of North Carolina to annihilate the Fusion aggregation." This extraordinary address concludes with the suggestion that nothing less than 40,000 majority will do the work effectively. Pou, as chairman of the Democratic committee, makes the bold assertion that it "is within the power of the Democratic party in North Carolina" to do it. That is true. It can be done by dishonest election methods. It can be done as it was done in Alabama and Georgia. The question is, WILL IT BE DONE? Has Pou the courage to defy public sentiment by repeating the experience of 1892? That it will be attempted is made perfectly plain by the action of the county commissioners and his address. It means that. Its reference to Populist and Republican disaffection is the boast of a braggart and ignoramus. Let every honest reformer rush to his post of duty and bury this set of ballot box scoundrels and forever. If you allow them to practice their infamous methods this year, they will grow still more bold, defiant and corrupt. Let every patriot rush to the breach. Let us crush this liberty destroying machine. Let us redeem the State and give the people a clean and honest ballot.

### HE LIES FROM NECESSITY.

The assertion was made in THE CAUCASIAN last week that Mr. Cleveland and the Democratic Congress instead of condemning and cutting down this "fabulous increase" in pensions at once proceeded to appropriate for 1894 \$165,531,350.00, making an increase of \$375,997.48. With its characteristic imprudence and ignorance the Raleigh "Organ" disputes this statement. It is true, unless the "abstract of appropriations" prepared by Mr. Sayers, chairman of the House Committee on Appropriations is a lie. The money has been appropriated and the expenditure for pensions for the current year will be \$8,000,000 in excess of last year. Not one dollar has been saved to the taxpayer in the alleged retrenchment of \$25,000. Every dollar appropriated will be collected. If it is not used it will be covered in to the Treasury and will be used by the next congress to meet a pension deficiency. The Democratic leaders in congress were fully advised of Hoke Smith's operations and methods in his retrenchment scheme. He urged in person, the committee on pensions and the committee on appropriations to reduce the appropriations \$25,000,000. His recommendations in the matter influenced the committee to increase the appropriations \$8,000,000. A Democratic congress repudiated his wild scheme if persisted and the result is this baseless Democratic retrenchment. The Democratic party is powerless to correct pension abuses. Eastern and Western Democrats are unalterably opposed to any change that may affect the soldier vote. The most extravagant and outrageous pension claims are allowed with a word of dissent from Southern Democrats. They are muzzled. Mention pension and witness the empty seats on the Democratic side in the House. They are cowards. They were brave enough in war. Many of them are battle-scared veterans. But they are political cowards. The Washington editor of the Raleigh "Organ" holds a clerical position under Hoke Smith. He dare not tell the truth about this pension scandal. It would cost him his job. He lies from necessity.

The speakers who beg you to stand by the "dear old Democratic party" and to give it another chance are those who now have fat offices and want to keep them, or they are men whose expenses are being paid by the trusts and monopolists. Look at the lists of the Democratic speakers and you will now see on the stump two Rail Road Commissioners who are drawing their pay daily to serve the people, yet who have left their offices and are now on the stump canvassing for the Democratic party. If the Democrats were the only men who paid the taxes to pay the salaries to these officers, then the remainder of the citizens of the State would have nothing to say, but every citizen in the State has been taxed to pay these men's salaries to do certain work and to serve the whole people of the State. But instead of doing this they have stopped serving all the people and gone to serving only a part of the people, and in fact less than half of the people. If they are not willing to serve all of the people, but prefer to serve the Democratic machine, then they should have the decency to resign their offices and let some men take them who will serve all of the people all of the time, while all the people are being taxed to pay him. The same is true of Mr. Leazer who is Superintendent of the State Penitentiary. The same is true of Capt. Octavius Coke who is Secretary of State.

Many other speakers who are now running around through the State begging the people to stand by the Democratic machine while they neglect their duties but do not neglect to draw their salaries daily.

In this connection it may also be noticed that Mr. Simmons who is Internal Revenue Collector, Mr. Aycock and Mr. G. E. G. who are United States District Attorneys for both Carolinas are violating daily the rules laid down by our Government that Federal office-holders should not participate actively in political campaigns. In the past, Mr. Cleveland has enforced this rule so rigidly that office-holders who did any more than to simply vote have been removed from office. Then why is it that these men who are playing the roll of "pernicious partisans" with impunity? They certainly would not be doing so if they thought they would thereby lose their offices. Then certainly they have been given special permission by Mr. Cleveland to act as "pernicious partisans" in this campaign. Why has Mr. Cleveland broken his rule to give them such special permission in this State while he has not done so in other States? Is it because Mr. Cleveland is concerned about the election of Senator Jarvis? No, for the Gold Bugs are not certain whether they depend on him or not. Then it must be because Cleveland and the Gold Bugs are much concerned about the election of Senator Ransom; therefore Mr. Simmons, Mr. Glenn, Mr. Aycock, have been given special permission or possibly they have been ordered to take the stump in this campaign to help re-elect Mat Ransom, the biggest fraud and the most consummate Traitor who has ever disgraced North Carolina in the United States Senate or anywhere else.

The unfortunate and helpless farmer is always the victim. A pound of cotton will lack 2 cents of paying for a pound of sugar under the new tariff bill. Last year you could buy a pound of sugar with a pound of cotton and have 2 cents left in your pocket. Every time now you swap a pound of cotton for sugar you are 4 cents a pound worse off than you were a year ago. But that is not all. Germany threatens, on account of the sugar schedule, to shut out the well fed American hog that has been fattened on the grain that the Democrats assured the farmers would sell at a dollar a bushel. Spain and other countries have already taken a similar course. And this is Democratic "tariff reform." The farmer who, with his eyes thus opened, votes for a continuance of this "reform" will soon vote himself and his children in the poor house, and Democratic misrepresentation will be poor compensation. If you want a change vote the People's party ticket.

Ransom says the Democratic party has saved the farmer fifty cents on bagging and ties for every bale of cotton. Are you getting your cotton ginned and baled any cheaper this year than you did last? It cost the editor of this paper five cents more a bale and besides we are forced to sell each bale of the cotton at five dollars less per bale. For one, we do not like this kind of Democratic relief. We are \$5.05 on each bale worse off than we were two years ago.

"Are you an honest man?" This is the heading to an article on the fourth page of this issue. Read it, if you are an honest man it will make you indignant, if you are a dishonest man you will laugh at it.

The Walter L. Main's Mammoth Show exhibited in Goldsboro last Tuesday, giving two splendid performances—afternoon and night. Every feature advertised was to be seen, and on the whole, this is the best Big Show ever seen in our city. The horses are well trained and beautiful animals. Two novel features of the circus deserve special mention, that of the lion riding on a horse's back and the trapeze act of Messrs. Stirk and Zeno. The concert was good, and the "butterfly" dance especially so. The management deserves a word of praise for the good order preserved on the grounds and the gentlemanly and courteous attaches of this Show.

The Speaking for Great Swamp will be at Pinkney. W. G. Hollowell, Chmn. Ex. Com.

**SPECIAL NOTICES.**

Reading notices will be inserted in this column for 10 cents per line for each insertion.

Office of J. P. GREENE,  
COUNTY JUDGE,  
GREEN COVE SPRINGS, CLAY CO.,  
FLA., May 23d, 1894.

Gentlemen:—We herewith beg to acknowledge receipt of your remittance covering claims held by us against you on the life of M. M. Katz, and to thank you for your promptness in settling them, they being the first policies paid out of the deceased ones held on the life of the deceased. Very truly yours,

(Signed) R. I. Katz,  
(Signed) Louis W. Katz.

Memorandum.—Amount of the two policies, \$7,000. Amount of Company's check \$7,793.41; and in addition, several years' dividends were used by the insured during his life.

R. E. Jones, Manager,  
Winston, N. C.

Oct. 11-24.

### NOTICE! NOTICE!

The law requires that the legislative officers shall all be voted on ONE BALLOT. The candidate for the House of Representatives in each county, when having his tickets printed should see that the names of the candidate (or candidates if there is more than one Senator in his district) are printed on the same ticket. We have received several orders to print tickets for the candidates for the House. The names and counties of the candidates for the Senate should be sent with every such order so they can be printed on one ballot.

In this connection it may also be noticed that Mr. Simmons who is Internal Revenue Collector, Mr. Aycock and Mr. G. E. G. who are United States District Attorneys for both Carolinas are violating daily the rules laid down by our Government that Federal office-holders should not participate actively in political campaigns.

In the past, Mr. Cleveland has enforced this rule so rigidly that office-holders who did any more than to simply vote have been removed from office.

Then why is it that these men who are playing the roll of "pernicious partisans" with impunity?

They certainly would not be doing so if they thought they would thereby lose their offices.

Then certainly they have been given special permission by Mr. Cleveland to act as "pernicious partisans" in this campaign.

Why has Mr. Cleveland broken his rule to give them such special permission in this State while he has not done so in other States?

Is it because Mr. Simmons, Mr. Glenn, Mr. Aycock, have been given special permission or possibly they have been ordered to take the stump in this campaign to help re-elect Mat Ransom, the biggest fraud and the most consummate Traitor who has ever disgraced North Carolina in the United States Senate or anywhere else.

Now Jones is in the same Senatorial district, therefore the legislative ticket for Jones county should be as follows:

For the Senate, Eighth District,

JAMES M. MEWBORN,  
of Lenoir county.

EDWARD L. FRANC,  
of Onslow county.

For the House of Representatives:

EDWARD C. DUNCAN.

Now Jones is in the same Senatorial district, therefore the legislative ticket for Jones county should be as follows:

For the Senate, Eighth District:

JAMES M. MEWBORN,  
of Lenoir county.

EDWARD L. FRANC,  
of Onslow county.

For House of Representatives:

HENRY FRANK BROWN.

The Chairman of the People's party committee or the nominee for the House should look after this matter in every county. Be sure to have enough tick-ticks printed.

IT WILL BE A DAY, IT WILL BE A VOTE MAKER.

The campaign edition of THE CAUCASIAN will be a daisy. We will begin to print it on Friday. It will be an issue of 25,000 copies. It will take our steam press two days and nights steady running to print it. It will contain a full report of the joint discussion between Butler and Simmons. It will be a complete answer to every Democratic speech that has been made. It will be a vote maker. Send in your orders at once. Send money as follows:

\$1.00 for 100 copies.

50 cents for 45 copies.

25 cents for 20 copies.

Put it into the hands of every voter. If he can't read, read it to him.

From point to point.

H. E. King, Cum'n. P. P.,  
Ex. Com. 3rd Cong. Dist.

MARTIN'S APPOINTMENTS.

Rev. Mr. Martin, Peoples Party candidate for Congress in the 6th District, will speak at the following times and places:

BRUNSWICK COUNTY.

Excellator, October 19.

Shalotte Camp, October 19, at night.

Lockwood's Folly, October 20.

Summerville, October 22.

PENDER COUNTY.

South Washington, Oct. 22.

Willard, " " 23.

Big Pines at night of " " 23.

Piney Woods, " " 24.

Point Caswell, " " 25.

Speaking will begin at 11 o'clock a.m.

Y. C. MORROW,  
Chmn. Ex. Com.

THE DISCOVERY SAVED HIS LIFE.

Mr. G. Callebotte, Druggist, Beaufort, N. C., says: "To Dr. King's New Discovery I owe my life. It was taken with Lu Griffe and tried all the physicians for miles about, but of no avail and was given up and told I could not live. Having Dr. King's New Discovery in my store I sent for a bottle and began its use and from the first dose began to get better, and after using three bottles was up and about again. It is worth its weight in gold. I keep a store of it and without it I could not live. Having Dr. King's New Discovery in my store I sent for a bottle and began its use and from the first dose began to get better, and after using three bottles was up and about again. It is worth its weight in gold. I keep a store of it and without it I could not live. Having Dr. King's New Discovery in my store I sent for a bottle and began its use and from the first dose began to get better, and after using three bottles was up and about again. It is worth its weight in gold. I keep a store of it and without it I could not live. Having Dr. King's New Discovery in my store I sent for a bottle and began its use and from the first dose began to get better, and after using three bottles was up and about again. It is worth its weight in gold. I keep a store of it and without it I could not live. Having Dr. King's New Discovery in my store I sent for a bottle and began its use and from the first dose began to get better, and after using three bottles was up and about again. It is worth its weight in gold. I keep a store of it and without it I could not live. Having Dr. King's New Discovery in my store I sent for a bottle and began its use and from the first dose began to get better, and after using three bottles was up and about again. It is worth its weight in gold. I keep a store of it and without it I could not live. Having Dr. King's New Discovery in my store I sent for a bottle and began its use and from the first dose began to get better, and after using three bottles was up and about again. It is worth its weight in gold. I keep a store of it and without it I could not live. Having Dr. King's New Discovery in my store I sent for a bottle and began its use and from the first dose began to get better, and after using three bottles was up and about again. It is worth its weight in gold. I keep a store of it and without it I could not live. Having Dr. King's New Discovery in my store I sent for a bottle and began its use and from the first dose began to get better, and after using three bottles was up and about again. It is worth its weight in gold. I keep a store of it and without it I could not live. Having Dr. King's New Discovery in my store I sent for a bottle and began its use and from the first dose began to get better, and after using three bottles was up and about again. It is worth its weight in gold. I keep a store of it and without it I could not live. Having Dr. King's New Discovery in my store I sent for a bottle and began its use and from the first dose began to get better, and after using three bottles was up and about again. It is worth its weight in gold. I keep a store of it and without it I could not live. Having Dr. King's New Discovery in my store I sent for a bottle and began its use and from the first dose began to get better, and after using three bottles was up and about again. It is worth its weight in gold. I keep a store of it and without it I could not live. Having Dr. King's New Discovery in my store I sent for a bottle and began its use and from the first dose began to get better, and after using three bottles was up and about again. It is worth its weight in gold. I keep a store of it and without it I could not live. Having Dr. King's New Discovery in my store I sent for a bottle and began its use and from the first dose began to get better, and after using three bottles was up and about again. It is worth its weight in gold. I keep a store of it and without it I could not live. Having Dr. King's New Discovery in my store I sent for a bottle and began its use and from the first dose began to get better, and after using three bottles was up and about again. It is worth its weight in gold. I keep a store of it and without it I could not live. Having Dr. King's New Discovery in my store I sent for a bottle and began its use and from the first dose began to get better, and after using three bottles was up and about again. It is worth its weight in gold. I keep a store of it and without it I could not live. Having Dr. King's New Discovery in my store I sent for a bottle and began its use and from the first dose began to get better, and after using three bottles was up and about again. It is worth its weight in gold. I keep a store of it and without it I could not live. Having Dr. King's New Discovery in my store I sent for a bottle and began its use and from the first dose began to get better, and after using three bottles was up and about again. It is worth its weight in gold. I keep a store of it and without it I could not live. Having Dr. King's New Discovery in my store I sent for a

## ARE YOU AN HONEST MAN?

READ THIS RECORD DO YOU ENDORSE  
IT?

WHAT THE COUNTY COMMISSIONERS  
HAVE DONE.

They Have Given Public Notice by Their  
Official Action That They Intend to com-  
mit Fraud at the coming Election.

Are those who hold the election  
machinery preparing to give the  
people an opportunity to express  
their will? We give the facts and  
ask every man who is honest to say  
what it means. Any election law  
that is just will provide for each  
party to have competent men to  
represent it as judges of the election  
where the votes are being cast and  
counted.

This was no doubt the object of the  
present election law, but it is so  
carelessly worded that the commissioners  
if they are not honest men  
can violate the spirit of the law and  
escape punishment.

In many counties the majority, at  
least, of the county commissioners  
are not just and upright men. We  
would not make such a grave charge  
against them if they had not con-  
vinced themselves of their own actuality.

The law says that the county com-  
missioners shall appoint men for  
judges of the election to represent  
the opposing parties on the day of  
the election. The law should have  
gone further and required that the  
commissioners should appoint men  
from each party who were recom-  
mended by such party, or at least  
satisfactory to them. And by all  
means the law should have required  
that the commissioners should ap-  
point for such important positions  
the best men in the opposing parties,  
men noted for their integrity and  
intelligence.

It may be that the person who  
wrote the law and the legislature that  
enacted the law took it for  
granted that men who held the high  
and important positions of commis-  
sioners in each county would be men  
of enough character and honesty  
that they would do this as a matter  
of course. But it has proven to be  
a fatal blunder.

What are the facts? They are  
cold facts, they are ugly facts, but  
THE CAUCASIAN will publish them:

When the commissioners met to  
appoint judges of the election the  
officers of the Democratic party ap-  
peared and recommended the men  
to represent the Democratic party  
as judges of the election. These  
were appointed. The officers of the  
People's party appeared and recom-  
mended the names of men who would  
be satisfactory to the People's party.  
Did the commissioners appoint these  
men? No!

Who did they appoint? They ap-  
pointed for the opposing party, not  
the men selected and recommended  
by that party, but the men selected by  
the officers of the Democratic party.

Can you conceive of anything  
more unfair than this? The Dem-  
ocratic machine allowed them not  
only to select the men to represent  
them as judges, but also allowed  
them to select the men to represent  
the opposing party. To say this is  
outrageously wrong is to put it mild.

But who did the Democratic ma-  
chine select to represent the People's  
party? Did they select the best men  
in the People's party for such im-  
portant places? No! In nine cases  
out of ten they refused to appoint  
any people's party men at all.

Then who did they select? Did  
they appoint the men selected and  
recommended by the Prohibition  
party or the Republican party? No!  
But in every precinct where they in-  
tend to steal they selected either  
illiterate men (mostly colored men)  
or men who cannot be trusted by  
their parties to guard the ballot.  
Can you believe that this has been  
done? We will give you the proof.  
Immediately after the commissioners  
had appointed judges of the elec-  
tion we sent the following blank to  
every county in the State:

GOLDSBORO, N. C., Sept. 29, '94.  
To COUNTY CHAIRMAN:

What action did the Commissioners  
of your county take about ap-  
pointing judges of the election?  
Please answer the questions below  
on this sheet.

Yours very truly,

MARION BUTLER.  
1. When did the commissioners  
of your County appoint Judges  
of the Election?

2. Did you appear before them  
and apply for representation for  
the People's party?

3. Did you name two men who  
would be satisfactory to the Peo-  
ple's party for every voting place in  
your County?

4. Did they appoint the men re-  
commended by the Ex. Com. of the  
People's party?

5. If not, did they appoint any  
People's party men? If so, how many?

6. What is the character of these  
men?

7. Have we got as much as one  
man on the board of judges of the  
election at every voting place in  
your County?

8. Did they appoint any Repub-  
lican Judges?

9. If so, were the men re-  
commended by the Executive so that  
party?

10. What is the character of the  
Republicans appointed?

11. Did they appoint any white  
men or colored men who cannot read  
and who are otherwise incompetent?  
If so, how many of each?

12. Name the townships where  
such appointments were made?

13. What is the reputation of the  
Democratic Judges in the above  
townships?

These blanks have been filled out  
and are now on file in this office. We  
give a few samples below:

WARRIOR CO.

The commissioners did not ap-

point a single Populist for judge of  
the election at any of the voting  
places in the county. They appointed  
Democrats and negroes many of  
the latter cannot read.

W. B. Fleming.

HARNETT CO.

The commissioners would not re-  
ognize the People's party. They  
said I had no business before them.  
Neither would they appoint the  
judges recommended by the Repub-  
lican party. Every one of the Dem-  
ocratic judges appointed by the  
commissioners are sharp, shrewd fel-  
lows.

Z. T. Kivett.

BOWAN CO.

The Democrats intend to do  
their stealing this year mostly in  
Salisbury. At this box they have  
appointed for one of the judges of the  
election a man who has been  
guilty of embezzlement two or three  
times. John Beard.

CHOWAN CO.

The commissioners refused to ap-  
point a single man we recommended.  
The Republican party received the  
same treatment. The commissioners  
appointed the men selected by the  
Democratic machine. Their  
action means that they will steal all  
they can.

W. B. Fulton.

BRUNSWICK CO.

The commissioners refused to ap-  
point for judges the men we recom-  
mended. They would not appoint the  
judges asked for by the Repub-  
lican Ex. Com. If the commissioners  
are to select all the men for judges  
they might as well appoint them all  
from the Democratic party.

W. W. Drew.

ALAMANCE CO.

They appointed Populists at some  
of the boxes, at some boxes we have  
no representation at all.

R. T. Kernodle.

JOHNSTON CO.

They refused to appoint the men  
we recommended. Some of the men  
they have appointed cannot read or  
write. Mr. Pou, the State Chairman  
of the Democratic Ex. Com., was  
here with the Commissioners, and it  
is believed that he dictated their ac-  
tion.

W. R. Creech.

NORTHAMPTON CO.

EDITOR THE CAUCASIAN:

They refused to appoint the men  
we recommended. They did not ap-  
point a single People's party man in  
the whole county. They also refused  
to appoint the men recommended by  
the Republican county committee.

They did appoint some Republicans  
but they were the men of their own  
choosing or the men recommended  
from the Republican party.

I know of one colored  
man whom they appointed who cannot  
read or write. We have no representa-  
tion at all.

W. T. Griffin.

PENDER COUNTY.

They refused to appoint our list,  
but appointed in many places igno-  
rant colored men. R. T. Sanders.

CUMBERLAND COUNTY.

The commissioners have appointed  
weak or ignorant men to represent  
the opposition in several towns in  
this county where they wanted to  
steal. But we will do all we can to  
protect the ballot.

T. H. McLean.

MARTIN COUNTY.

The commissioners would not ap-  
point a single man recommended by  
the People's party or the Repub-  
licans for judges of the election. They  
appointed some illiterate colored men.  
One member of the Board protested  
against unfair and unjust action but  
the majority ran over him. He says  
that he is disgusted with such meth-  
ods.

C. F. Fagan.

EDGCOMBE COUNTY.

The commissioners refused to rec-  
ognize the People's party. They  
not only would not appoint the men  
we recommended for judges, but they  
did not appoint a single Populist in  
the county. They have appointed two  
colored men in Tarboro who can-  
not read nor write.

James B. Lloyd.

DUPLIN COUNTY.

The commissioners in the county  
appointed judges of election on first  
Monday in September. They ap-  
pointed the men we asked for. But  
then they held a meeting and rescinded  
their action and appointed  
men to suit the machine. O. L. W.

The above is a fair sample of the  
action of the Commissioners in the  
Eastern counties. We could fill a  
column with these reports. This is  
enough to show every honest man  
that the Democratic machine intends  
to count majorities for those who are  
not elected. Does any honest man  
in the State approve of this? This  
must be stopped. The will of  
the people must rule. See to it, men  
and brethren!

Order copies of this edition of  
THE CAUCASIAN and put them into  
the hands of every voter around you.

LAND SALE.

On Monday, October 29, 1894, at  
11 o'clock at Arrington's Corner in  
Rocky Mount, N. C., we will sell at  
public auction the Home place of S.  
E. Westry, in Stony Creek town-  
ship, Nash County, joining the lands  
of W. R. Winstead, Robert Barnes  
and others and containing about 3,  
100 acres.

The tract will be cut up to suit  
purchasers in 100 and 200 acre lots.  
The land is well suitable for tobacco.  
This is a fine opportunity for a man  
to buy a home. Tract also contains  
much fine timber. Terms of sale  
easy and made known at sale.

THOS. H. BATTLE, 1/ Com.  
JNO. W. MOORE, 1/ Com.  
Rocky Mount, N. C. octet-4t

NOTICE!

Having qualified as executor of the  
last will of James R. Parker, deceased,  
all persons holding claims against  
his estate are hereby notified to pre-  
sent them for payment by October  
10th, 1895, or this notice will be  
pleaded in bar of their recovery.

L. W. Parker, Executor.

Oct. 11-8t.

## September Deficit.

[Continued from first page.]

date it in the face of this record."

ALWAYS A GOLDBUG.

The commissioners would not re-  
ognize the People's party. They  
said I had no business before them.  
Neither would they appoint the  
judges recommended by the Repub-  
lican party.

Every one of the Dem-  
ocratic judges appointed by the  
commissioners are sharp, shrewd fel-  
lows.

Z. T. Kivett.

BOWAN CO.

The commissioners would not re-  
ognize the People's party. They  
said I had no business before them.  
Neither would they appoint the  
judges recommended by the Repub-  
lican party.

Every one of the Dem-  
ocratic judges appointed by the  
commissioners are sharp, shrewd fel-  
lows.

Z. T. Kivett.

BOWAN CO.

The commissioners would not re-  
ognize the People's party. They  
said I had no business before them.  
Neither would they appoint the  
judges recommended by the Repub-  
lican party.

Every one of the Dem-  
ocratic judges appointed by the  
commissioners are sharp, shrewd fel-  
lows.

Z. T. Kivett.

BOWAN CO.

The commissioners would not re-  
ognize the People's party. They  
said I had no business before them.  
Neither would they appoint the  
judges recommended by the Repub-  
lican party.

Every one of the Dem-  
ocratic judges appointed by the  
commissioners are sharp, shrewd fel-  
lows.

Z. T. Kivett.

BOWAN CO.

The commissioners would not re-  
ognize the People's party. They  
said I had no business before them.  
Neither would they appoint the  
judges recommended by the Repub-  
lican party.

Every one of the Dem-  
ocratic judges appointed by the  
commissioners are sharp, shrewd fel-  
lows.

Z. T. Kivett.

BOWAN CO.

The commissioners would not re-  
ognize the People's party. They  
said I had no business before them.  
Neither would they appoint the  
judges recommended by the Repub-  
lican party.

Every one of the Dem-  
ocratic judges appointed by the  
commissioners are sharp, shrewd fel-  
lows.

Z. T. Kivett.

BOWAN CO.

The commissioners would not re-  
ognize the People's party. They  
said I had no business before them.  
Neither would they appoint the  
judges recommended by the Repub-  
lican party.

Every one of the Dem-  
ocratic judges appointed by the  
commissioners are sharp, shrewd fel-  
lows.

Z. T. Kivett.

BOWAN CO.

The commissioners would not re-  
ognize the People's party. They  
said I had no business before them.  
Neither would they appoint the  
judges recommended by the Repub-  
lican party.

Every one of the Dem-  
ocratic judges appointed by the  
commissioners are sharp, shrewd fel-  
lows.

Z. T. Kivett.

BOWAN CO.

The commissioners would not re-  
ognize the People's party. They  
said I had no business before them.  
Neither would they appoint the  
judges recommended by the Repub-  
lican party.

Every one of the Dem-  
ocratic judges appointed by the  
commissioners are sharp, shrewd fel-  
lows.

Z. T. Kivett.

BOWAN CO.

The commissioners would not re-  
ognize the People's party. They  
said I had no business before them.  
Neither would they appoint the  
judges recommended by the Repub-  
lican party.

Every one of the Dem-  
ocratic judges appointed by the  
commissioners are sharp, shrewd fel-  
lows.